Commercialization of a Novel Mobile Manipulator

Guest Lecture for Prof. Zackory Erickson's Class at CMU 16-887: Robotic Caregivers and Intelligent Physical Collaboration

April 11, 2022



Charlie Kemp https://charliekemp.com

Charlie's Conflict of Interest Statement

Dr. Kemp is both an associate professor at Georgia Tech and the chief technology officer (CTO) of Hello Robot Inc. where he works part time. **He owns equity** in Hello Robot Inc. and is an inventor of Georgia Tech intellectual property (IP) licensed by Hello Robot Inc. Consequently, **he receives royalties** through Georgia Tech for sales made by Hello Robot Inc. He also benefits from increases in the value of Hello Robot Inc.

Summary: If Hello Robot does well, Charlie does well.





Commercialization

- Is open ended with many roads to success
- Depends on customers not investors
- Can empower people to create the future



Outline

- My commercialization story
- A simple startup model
- Challenges for emerging technology





Mobile Manipulators Can Provide Meaningful Assistance



research from the Healthcare Robotics Lab (healthcare-robotics.com) at Georgia Tech



Commercial Assistive Robots



- . On your wheelchair
- . On a table or desk
- . On your body



DynamicArm by Ottobock



Myomo by Myomo Inc.



My Spoon by SECOM



Advantages of Mobile Manipulators

- Operate independently from the user
- No don/doff
- Assist diverse users
- Potential for mass market product







Rodney A. Brooks, "<u>How To Build Complete Creatures Rather Than Isolated Cognitive Simulators</u>", Architectures for Intelligence, K. VanLehn (ed), Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, Fall 1989, pp. 225–239.

Photo Credit: Peter Menzel/Science Source from <u>https://robots.ieee.org/robots/cog/</u>

Photo Credit: Larry D. Moore, <u>CC BY-SA 3.0</u>, Wikimedia Commons. from <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roomba</u>



The first Roomba from 2002. 20 years ago!

Millions of Roombas Sold vs. Year



Bodies and Brains Working Together

- Body matched to ecological niche
 - Small footprint
 - Circular and flat
 - Giant contact sensor
 - \circ $\,$ Easy for people to pick up and move
- Brain matched to the body
 - Haptic sensing as primary modality
 - Change direction on contact
 - Wall following
 - Spiraling



"Viewed as a geometric figure, the ant's path is irregular, complex, and hard to describe. But its complexity is really a complexity in the surface of the beach, not the complexity in the ant."

Herbert Simon, The Sciences of the Artificial, 1969

Photo Credit: Andreas Dantz Roomba, first attempt Taken on April 14, 2013 https://www.flickr.com/p hotos/szene/864932680 7/in/pool-roomba/



What is the Roomba of mobile manipulation?

What body for **indoor** mobile manipulation in homes and workplaces?

- Flat smooth surfaces
- Visible from human head height
- Reachable by human arms
- Children, older adults, and pets





Sensing and Manipulating Built-for-Human Environments, Rodney A. Brooks, Lijin Aryananda, Aaron Edsinger, Paul M. Fitzpatrick, Charles C. Kemp, Una-May O'Reilly, Eduardo Torres-Jara, Paulina Varshavskaya and Jeff Weber. International Journal of Humanoid Robotics, Vol 1, Number 1, pages 1-28, 2004.

Momentary Problem when Balancing on Wheels



Momentary Problem when Balancing on Wheels



It Just Takes One Fall



Images from https://openclipart.org/detail/314874/little-girl-hugging-dog https://ozrobotics.com/tag/humanoid-robot/



What about quadrupeds?



Image from https://www.bostondvnamics.com/spot

Pinch Points

Spot's joints can pinch fingers and other body parts and entangle loose clothing, long hair, and jewelry.



VI.0 - Original Instructions

https://www.bostondynamics.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/spot-information-for-use-en.pdf

Dynamic Stability Risks

Spot will always try to keep balance. This may result in high-acceleration motion of the legs



Failure in locomotion could happen unexpectedly and could result in de-energization of the robot's actuators.

A failure event may cause loss of stability and potential hazards associated with a fall or tipping over.

Always keep a separation distance of 2 m



SPOT INFORMATION FOR USE V1.0 - Original Instructions

https://www.bostondynamics.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/spot-information-for-use-en.pdf

What is the Roomba of mobile manipulation?

Stretch's Ancestor

EL-E from 2008

- Statically stable
- Small footprint
- Lightweight
- Cameras high
- Reach flat surfaces



<u>A Point-and-Click Interface for the Real World: Laser Designation of Objects for Mobile Manipulation</u>, Charles C. Kemp, Cressel Anderson, Hai Nguyen, Alex Trevor, and Zhe Xu, 3rd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), 2008







<u>Behaviors for Robust Door Opening and Doorway Traversal with a Force-Sensing Mobile Manipulator</u>, Advait Jain and Charles C. Kemp, RSS Manipulation Workshop: Intelligence in Human Environments, 2008.













1) TV remote

3) Pill bottle

4) Glasses

5) Cordless phone

11) Cup





7) Plastic fork











12) Plate





14) Soap

8) Plastic spoon

15) Cellphone

9) Bottle



10) Toothpaste

16) Hand towel



18) Dollar bill









PPS-Tags: Physical Perceptual and Semantic Tags for Autonomous Mobile Manipulation, Hai Nguyen, Travis Deyle, Matt Reynolds, and Charles C. Kemp, IROS 2009 workshop: Semantic Perception for Mobile Manipulation, 2009.





<u>A Clickable World: Behavior Selection Through Pointing and Context for Mobile Manipulation</u>, Hai Nguyen, Advait Jain, Cressel Anderson, and Charles C. Kemp, IEEE/RJS International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2008.





Hand It Over or Set It Down: A User Study of Object Delivery with an Assistive Mobile Manipulator, Young Sang Choi, Tiffany L. Chen, Advait Jain, Cressel Anderson, Jonathan D. Glass, and Charles C. Kemp, IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN), 2009.







In 2010 the World Changed





Domestic robots for older adults: Attitudes, preferences, and potential, Cory-Ann Smarr, Tracy L. Mitzner, Jenay M. Beer, Akanksha Prakash, Tiffany L. Chen, Charles C. Kemp, and Wendy A. Rogers. International Journal of Social Robotics, 6(2):229–247, 2014.





Mobile Manipulators Can Provide Meaningful Assistance



research from the Healthcare Robotics Lab (healthcare-robotics.com) at Georgia Tech



Mobile Manipulators Can Provide Meaningful Assistance



research from the Healthcare Robotics Lab (healthcare-robotics.com) at Georgia Tech



The Robots for Humanity Project



Robots for humanity: using assistive robotics to empower people with disabilities, Tiffany L. Chen, Matei Ciocarlie, Steve Cousins, Phillip Grice, Kelsey Hawkins, Kaijen Hsiao, **Charles C. Kemp**, Chih-Hung King, Daniel A. Lazewatsky, Adam Leeper, Hai Nguyen, Andreas Paepcke, Caroline Pantofaru, William D. Smart, and Leila Takayama, IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, 2013






Assistive Mobile Manipulation for Self-Care Tasks Around the Head, Kelsey Hawkins, Phillip M. Grice, Tiffany L. Chen, Chih-Hung King, and Charles C. Kemp, 2014 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Robotic Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies, 2014.





Assistive Mobile Manipulation for Self-Care Tasks Around the Head, Kelsey Hawkins, Phillip M. Grice, Tiffany L. Chen, Chih-Hung King, and Charles C. Kemp, 2014 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Robotic Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies, 2014.

















Causes of Motor Impairment

- 6 Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)
- 3 Muscular Dystrophy (Duchenne/Becker)
- 3 Spinal Cord Injury
- 1 Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)
- 1 Arthrogryposis
- 1 Dejerine-Sottas

ARAT Threshold: 9/57 with best arm



Computer Access Devices

- 4 Trackball
- 3 Touchpad
- 3 Head-mouse (TrackerPro, 2x HeadMouse Extreme)
- 2 Standard mouse
- 1 Eye-gaze (Tobii)
- 1 Touchpad w/Stylus held in mouth
- 1 Speech (Dragon MouseGrid)



40x

Geora



Improvement Exceeded Conservative Minimal Clinically Important Difference (MCID)



[1] C. E. Lang, D. F. Edwards, R. L. Birkenmeier, and A. W. Dromerick, "Estimating minimal clinically important differences of upper-extremity measures early after stroke," Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation, vol. 89, no. 9, pp. 1693–1700, 2008.

[2] J. H. Van der Lee, V. De Groot, H. Beckerman, R. C. Wagenaar, G. J. Lankhorst, and L. M. Bouter, "The intra-and interrater reliability of the action research arm test: A practical test of upper extremity function in patients with stroke," Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 14–19, 2001.

1-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test vs MCID: W=96, **p=.021**



Perceived Usefulness





Wilcoxon signed-rank test vs neutral: W=105, p=.000402

- Strongly Disagree
 Disagree
 Somewhat Disagree
 Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 5: Somewhat Agree6: Agree7: Strongly Agree



Perceived Ease of Use





Limitations

- Slow operation
- Errors
- Depth perception



Limitations

- Slow operation
- Errors
- Depth perception

Georgia

Tech

• The robot



Two Problems



- Willow Garage shut down in 2014
- PR2 was impractical
 - o \$400,000
 - 227 kg (~500 lb)
 - 67 cm wide (~2.2 ft)







Hourglass photo from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wooden_hourglass_3.jpg

Frustration Leads to Invention

Minimize the actuator requirements while maximizing the capabilities.

- affordable
- compact
- lightweight
- humancentric
- capable



My Initial Georgia Tech Notes October 2016



Georgia Tech's Prototype March 2017



Hello Robot's Product - A Robot for Research July 2020



2016	2017		2018	2019	2020
Georgia Tech		hello robot [®]			



Technical Inspiration!



2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
		γ ∼3 8 vears		



	2016	2017		2018	2019	202	20
r n	echnical spiration	Bay Area ! Roadshov	v		P	Private Sales	Public Launc





Aaron Edsinger, PhD

- World views aligned
- Commitment to a long-term vision
- Successful prior collaborations
- Business experience
- Strong technical skills

	2016	2017		2018	2019	20	20
Fechnical Cofound nspiration! Leaves		∣ Cofounder Leaves Go	· / CEO ogle X	P S	rivate ales	Public Launch	



	2016	2017	2018	2019	202	20
To In	echnical Ispiratio	n!	License Agreement with Georgia Tech	F	Private Sales	Public Launch

Too late for naive funding, too early for consumers



Funded by Bosch Founded 2015 ceased operations August 2018



\$260M raised Founded 2010

bankrupt April 2019

\$73M raised Founded 2012 sold assets June 2018

	2016	2017	2018	2019	202	20
 Fe	echnical Ispiratio	n!	Pitching for Venture Capital	P S	rivate ales	Public Launcl



Pivot to a longer-term plan starting with a robot for researchers and educators.

	2016	2017	2018	2019	20	020
T(Ir	echnical spiratio	n!	From Venture Capital to Self Funded		Private Sales	Public Launch

Where did I get the money?

- Supportive spouse
- Frugality
 - Enjoy life with less
 - \circ $\hfill Lived on single income of dual income household$
 - Careful with large financial commitments (e.g., house & car)
- Investing
 - Long-term value investing
 - Asset allocation strategy
 - Reallocation when markets are down (e.g., 2008, 2020)
- Time
 - 11 years for <u>compounding returns</u>
 - Patience and exponentials pay off



Georgia Tech's Prototype March 2017



Hello Robot's Product - A Robot for Research July 2020



2016	2017		2018	2019	2020
Georgia Tech		hello robot [®]			

Smaller, Lighter, More Affordable



- 34 cm wide (~1.1 ft)
- 23 kg (~51 lb)
- \$20,000



Width (cm)



Successful Launch in July 2020



Photo: Hello Robot

Hello Robot, founded by former Google robotics director Aaron Edsinger and Georgia Tech professor Charlie Kemp, is introducing Stretch, a mobile manipulator that weighs only 23 kg and costs less than \$20,000.

hello robot

www.hello-robot.com



hello robot

What have I learned?

Commercialization is truly open ended. There are many roads to success.
Commercialization Trajectories



The Basics

What is the product?

Who are the customers?

Can you make the math work?



A Simple Model of Success



 $\int_0^T \$_{burned}(t) < \int_0^T \$_{from_customers}(t)$

A Simple Model of Success



 $reward = \int_0^T \left(\$_{from_customers}(t) - \$_{burned}(t) \right)$

Getting Started is More Complex



 $\int_0^T \$_{burned}(t) < \int_0^T \left(\$_{from_customers}(t) + \$_{from_investors}(t)\right)$

Investment is Not Enough





\$150M raised Founded 2008 sold assets October 2018



\$73M raised Founded 2012 sold assets June 2018



raising money isn't an accomplishment, its an obligation

https://twitter.com/mcuban/status/1373751313134202887

Venture Capital Funding Risks

- Lose control of the company
 - Board control
 - Ability to make big decisions
 - Equity ownership
- Lose focus on customers
 - Raising money takes resources
 - Optimize for investors instead of customers
 - Depend on investment instead of sales
- Raise the bar for success
 - Founders & employees get nothing if the company sells for less than the total money raised
 - High expectations
 - $\circ \quad \text{Increased burn rate} \leftarrow \textbf{idle money has low return}$



https://www.crunchbase.com/

Do all investors expect a 10x return in 10 years?

- They expect most startups to die
- Their goals vary
 - Don't just sell them on your company
 - Ask the hard questions
 - Assess how well your goals are aligned with theirs
 - Be honest with yourself about the match, it's a long journey
- Most are looking for extreme returns
 - High-risk implies many investments go to zero
 - They need big wins for the numbers to work
 - 10x or greater is typical for venture capitalists
 - <u>https://kruzeconsulting.com/blog/post/what-VCs-Return</u> <u>-Expectations/</u>



A Common Goal: The Hockey Stick Chart

Exponential Error Between Investor Expectations and Reality



https://www.desmos.com/calculator/gdzwgcrbbl

Death of a Startup

- Valuation too high for new investors
- Unable/unwilling to switch to revenue-based business
- Reduced options due to lack of control
- There can be a real business inside with too many obligations





"For a successful technology, reality must take precedence over public relations, for nature cannot be fooled."

Richard P. Feynman, "Appendix F – Personal Observations on Reliability of Shuttle", NASA, 1986.



Challenges for Robotics Companies

- You need more than a good robot
- Easy to overpromise
 - Humans make navigation and manipulation look easy
 - Driving cars
 - Picking up toys
 - Experts forget how hard the real world is
 - Videos hide complexity
- "Hardware is hard."
 - Once it's shipped, it's hard to fix
 - Production & inventory
 - Many components
 - One USB 3 cable can kill
 - 50 parts with 99% yield => 39% chance of failure! =
 1.0 (0.99)^50 = 1.0 0.61



Challenges for Emerging Technologies

All prices inflation adjusted to 2020-2021 US dollars Google Spreadsheet Used to Generate Graphs

Chicken-or-Egg Problems

• Which comes first?

- Supply or demand?
- The problem or the solution?
- Applications or the robot?

• Emerging technologies

- Are novel, reducing understanding
- \circ $\,$ Are scarce, inhibiting learning and exploration
- Have uncertain value, reducing demand that could increase prevalence
- Iteration is a useful heuristic for solving these types of problems





Year



Year

Ford Model T Runabout









Price



Price



Not All Emerging Technologies Become Personal



Society has to Discover Where An Emerging Technology Fits In



New Devices are Just the Foreground









Commercialization

- Is open ended with many roads to success
- Depends on customers not investors
- Can empower people to create the future



Outline

- My commercialization story
- A simple startup model
- Challenges for emerging technology





Question & Answer Session

Learning Resources I've Found Valuable











- Shark Tank
- How I Built This with Guy Raz
- Venture Deals
 - by Brad Feldman and Jason Mendelson
- Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion
 - by Robert B. Cialdini
- <u>The late Prof. Amar Bose</u>
 - \circ $\,$ I took his class in the fall of 1994, and still think of it often.
 - <u>https://teachingexcellence.mit.edu/category/inspiring-teach</u>
 <u>ers/amar-g-bose-6-312-acoustics</u>

What's in a price?



Is the price right?

• Take your customers' perspective when selecting a price

- What is their price sensitivity?
- What are their alternatives?
- Will they decide to buy and be happy with their purchase?
- Too high
 - Not enough people buy the product
 - Limited growth in terms of revenue and profit
 - Risk of competitor capturing the market
- Too low
 - Risk of selling the product at a loss
 - Risk of misestimating the true cost
 - Customers have less incentive to make a careful decision, so they may be a poor match for your product.



